# School-based After-school Learning and Support Programmes 2020/21 s.y.

**School-based Grant - Programme Report**

**Name of School: Islamic Kasim Tuet Memorial College**

**Staff-in-charge: Canny CHENG Contact Telephone No.: 2570 9066 / 9015 4520**

1. **The number of students (count by heads) benefitted under the Grant is 400 (including A. 280 CSSA recipients, B. 60 SFAS full-grant recipients and C. 60 under school’s discretionary quota).**
2. **Information on Activities to be subsidised/complemented by the Grant.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **\*Name / Type of activity** | **Actual no. of participating eligible students #** | **Average attendance rate** | **Period/Date activity held** | **Actual expenses ($)** | **Method(s) of evaluation (e.g. test, questionnaire, etc)** | **Name of partner/ service provider (if applicable)** | **Remarks if any (e.g. students’ learning and affective outcome)** |
| A | B | C |
| After-School Interest Classes | 226 | 97 | 96 | 100% | September 2020-August 2021 | $38,123.45 | Attendance rate, students interviews, questionnaires, teachers feed-back |  |  |
| Study Tours / Visits | 41 | 14 | 13 | $1,974.68 |  |  |
| Social Skills and Leadership | 36 | 12 | 12 | $7,943.27 |  |  |
| Community Service Activities | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |  |  |
| After-School Interest Classes | 604 | 201 | 200 | $169,926.49 |  |  |
| **Total no. of activities:** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **@No. of man-times** | 907 | 324 | 321 |  | **Total Expenses** | $217,968.17 |  |
| **\*\*Total no. of man-times** | 1552 |

Note:

\* Types of activities are categorized as follows: tutorial service, learning skill training, languages training, visits, art /culture activities, sports, self-confidence development, volunteer service, adventure activities, leadership training, and communication skills training courses.

@ Man-times: refers to the aggregate no. of benefitted students participating in each activity listed above.

\*\* Total no. of man-times: the aggregate of man-times (A) + (B) + (C)

# Eligible students: students in receipt of CSSA (A), SFAS full grant (B) and disadvantaged students identified by the school under the discretionary quota (not more than 25%) (C).

# C. Project Effectiveness

***In general, how would you rate the achievements of the activities conducted to the benefitted eligible students?***

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Please put a “” against the most appropriate box.** | **Improved** | **No Change** | **Declining** | **Not Applicable** |
| **Significant** | **Moderate** | **Slight** |
| **Learning Effectiveness** |
| a) Students’ motivation for learning |  | V |  |  |  |  |
| b) Students’ study skills |  | V |  |  |  |  |
| c) Students’ academic achievement |  | V |  |  |  |  |
| d) Students’ learning experience outside classroom |  | V |  |  |  |  |
| e) Your overall view on students’ learning effectiveness |  | V |  |  |  |  |
| **Personal and Social Development** |
| f) Students’ self-esteem | V |  |  |  |  |  |
| g) Students’ self-management skills |  | V |  |  |  |  |
| h) Students’ social skills | V |  |  |  |  |  |
| i) Students’ interpersonal skills | V |  |  |  |  |  |
| j) Students’ cooperativeness with others | V |  |  |  |  |  |
| k) Students’ attitudes toward schooling | V |  |  |  |  |  |
| l) Students’ outlook on life |  | V |  |  |  |  |
| m) Your overall view on students’ personal and social development | V |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Community Involvement** |
| n) Students’ participation in extracurricular and voluntary activities |  |  | V |  |  |  |
| o) Students’ sense of belonging |  | V |  |  |  |  |
| p) Students’ understanding on the community |  |  | V |  |  |  |
| q) Your overall view on students’ community involvement |  |  | V |  |  |  |

# Comments on the project conducted

***Problems/difficulties encountered when implementing the project (You may tick more than one box)***

unable to identify the eligible students (i.e., students receiving CSSA, SFAS full grant); difficult to select suitable non-eligible students to fill the discretionary quota;

eligible students unwilling to join the programmes (Please specify:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_);

the quality of service provided by partner/service provider not satisfactory; tutors inexperienced and student management skills unsatisfactory;

the amount of administrative work leads to apparent increase on teachers’ workload; complicated to fulfill the requirements for handling funds disbursed by EDB;

the reporting requirements too complicated and time-consuming; Others (Please specify):

# Do you have any feedback from students and their parents? Are they satisfied with the service provided? (optional)